



MOOT COURT  
SOCIETY



LOVELY  
PROFESSIONAL  
UNIVERSITY

*Transforming Education Transforming India*

NAAC  
GRADE **A++**

# 7<sup>th</sup> GAVELLED

## Moot Court Competition


01-03 April, 2026



 manupatra®

Organized by: **Moot Court Society, School of Law,  
Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab.**

Think**BIG**



## ABOUT LOVELY PROFESSIONAL UNIVERSITY

Lovely Professional University (LPU) is a multi-faculty research-oriented institution with hi-tech campus offering more than 200 professional programmes. LPU campus is a complete world in itself having students from all states of India and more than 40+ countries of the world. LPU is a world class institution with internationally benchmarked curricula, innovative pedagogy focused on experiential learning, cutting edge research, 450+ foreign tie-ups, well qualified faculty with industry exposure, outstanding sports & cultural facilities, excellent residential facilities, In-campus shopping mall, hospital, banks, postal services etc. The University is ranked high on various parameters by Government Bodies and other credible organisations. LPU receives Highest Grade A++ with 3.68 score on 4 Point Scale by NAAC (National Assessment & Accreditation Council), an Autonomous Institution of the University Grants Commission (UGC), Ministry of Education, Govt. of India. The Times Higher Education World University Rankings 2025 has ranked LPU amongst Top 10 Universities in India Including all Government (even IITs & NITs), & Private Institutions, and many more...

## ABOUT SCHOOL OF LAW

Approved by the Bar Council of India, the Law Discipline of LPU stands among the country's leading legal institutions and is ranked 26th in the NIRF Rankings (Law) 2025. The School is distinguished by its strong emphasis on technology-driven learning and advanced legal research at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels, along with extensive exposure to moot court sessions and competitive advocacy forums that add rigor to courtroom training and prepare graduates for diverse global legal careers. Students are professionally groomed to excel from day one through intensive practical training in legal drafting, mock trials, crime scene investigation exercises, structured debates, and analytical discussions, ensuring comprehensive skill development.

In addition, the Discipline meticulously coordinates internship opportunities with Judicial Officers, renowned legal practitioners, reputed law firms, and statutory bodies such as the Human Rights, Women, Child, and Information Commissions. The School regularly hosts international conferences and interactive sessions with eminent legal professionals to broaden students' perspectives and familiarize them with emerging global legal trends. Furthermore, students are encouraged to undertake freelancing projects to cultivate innovation, entrepreneurship, leadership, and independent professional growth



## MOOT COURT SOCIETY

The Moot Court Society (MCS) at the School of Law, LPU, takes proactive measures to foster the growth of moot court activities. The MCS is entrusted with the responsibility of orchestrating intra-moot court competitions, inter-university moot court contests on both the national and international levels, as well as trial advocacy competitions.

The MCS plays a pivotal role in nurturing future mooters and cultivating a vibrant, researching and mooting culture within the school. Our ultimate objective is to uphold the principles of justice through the effective practice of law.



## ABOUT THE COMPETITION

The Gavelled Moot Court Competition stands as the flagship event of our School of Law, dedicated to hosting a prestigious moot court competition. The primary goal of this competition is to foster a heightened awareness and interest in the pressing contemporary issues and the ever-evolving field of criminal jurisprudence. The competition is built around a challenging moot problem that delves into the conflict between established legal principles and the emerging interests of society in a rapidly changing landscape. School of Law, LPU will be organizing the "7th Gavelled Moot Court Competition" from 1<sup>st</sup> – 3<sup>rd</sup> April, 2026. This year's moot proposition offers a compelling examination of criminal adjudication in the digital age, centring on the evidentiary integrity of forensic data, electronic surveillance, and witness testimony. It weaves issues of procedural fairness, chain of custody, and technological reliability within the framework of modern criminal jurisprudence, providing young advocates a rigorous platform to analyse complex factual disputes and constitutional safeguards. This problem is designed to challenge analytical depth and inspire excellence in legal reasoning.



Glimpse of 6th Gavelled National Moot Court Competition 2025



## Eligibility for Participation

All students enrolled bona fide in an undergraduate course of Law i.e., 3 Yrs. / 5 Yrs. from any college or university shall be eligible for participation in the competition. Each College/ University/ Institution shall be allowed to register only one team in the Competition.



## Registration

The teams are required to duly complete the registration by 17<sup>th</sup> March 2026 (11:59 P.M.) by filling out the following Google form and the registration fees shall be ₹6500/-. If registered by 10<sup>th</sup> March 2026 (11:59 P.M.), the registering team can avail, the early decision benefit the registration fees shall be ₹6000/-



## Format of the Competition

The Competition comprises of the Following Rounds:

- Researcher's Test (01st April)
- Preliminary Rounds - Rounds 1 and 2 (2nd April)
- Pre Quarter Finals (2nd April)
- Quarter Finals (3rd April)
- Semi Finals (3rd April)
- Grand Finale (3rd April)

<https://www.lpu.in/events/gavelled/registration-form.php>

## TEAM COMPOSITION

Each team shall consist of three members, comprising of two speakers and one researcher. Any alterations in the composition of the team should be informed to organizing committee. It is the discretion of the committee to permit/reject such proposed alteration. However, any such alteration shall not be permitted without a satisfactory and reasonable justification, after the submission of memorials (Soft Copy). The inability of any team member to participate in accordance with the rules of this Competition shall lead to immediate disqualification of the team from the Competition

## THE MEMORIALS

The Teams shall prepare memorials for both the sides: Appellant and Respondents (5 Copies Each). The cover page of the memorials sent, for both the soft and printed copies, shall clearly mention the word "A" for memorials on behalf of the Appellant, and the word "R" for memorials on behalf of the Respondent.

Each memorial should be contained in a single file with the name of the file being the allocated team code followed by the first letter of the party whose arguments are presented in that Memorial, i.e., an 'R' for Respondent(s) and 'P' for Appellant(s). For instance, the respondent(s) memorial of team code 10 should be named as '10R'.

To conserve paper, teams may print their memorials on both sides of the A4 sheet and submit accordingly and it is advised to avoid use of plastic files and Paper files are encouraged.

## Contents of the Memorial

Memorials must contain not more than a total of 35 pages and the following sections should be present in the same order, as stated below-

- Cover Page
- Table of Contents;
- Index of Authorities
- Statement of Jurisdiction
- Statement of Facts
- Statement of Issues
- Summary of Arguments
- Arguments Advanced; (Not exceeding 20 pages)
- Prayer

The cover page of the Appellant's memorial shall be printed on blue colour A4 size paper, and the cover page of the respondent's memorial shall be printed on Red colour A4 size paper

## Formatting

The font style and size of the text of all parts of the Memorial, excluding the Cover Page shall be Times New Roman, size 12, 1.5- line spacing. The Footnotes shall be in Times New Roman, size 10, single (1) line spacing, citation style - bluebook (20th Edition)

## Evaluation

The maximum scores for the memorials shall be 100 marks. The memorials shall be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Knowledge of Law & Facts: 30 Marks
- Proper and Articulate Analysis: 30 Marks
- Extent and Use of Research: 20 Marks
- Clarity and Organization: 10 Marks
- Grammar and Style: 10 Marks



## Penalties

- Disclosure of team identity by any means: 5 Marks
- Exceeding the page limit: 1 Mark per page
- Violation of formatting rules: 0.25 Marks per page
- Delay in submission of memorial: 1 mark per hour
- Use of any internet sources during Oral Rounds: Disqualification
- Scouting: Disqualification

## CLARIFICATION

Clarifications to the Moot Proposition may be sought by sending an e-mail to the Organizing Committee on or before 20th March 2026 (11:59 P.M. IST). Clarifications sent after the provided deadline shall not be entertained. The clarifications, if any, will be circulated amongst the registered teams shortly thereafter

## ORAL ROUNDS

The researcher shall sit with the speakers during the orals and at no point of time the researcher shall be allowed to speak or address the bench.

Maximum marks for the oral rounds shall be 100 marks per speaker

<b>KNOWLEDGE OF LAW</b>	<b>20 MARKS</b>
<b>APPLICATION OF LAW TO FACTS</b>	<b>20 MARKS</b>
<b>INGENUITY AND ABILITY TO ANSWER</b>	<b>20 MARKS</b>
<b>STYLE, POISE, COURTESY AND Demeanour</b>	<b>20 MARKS</b>
<b>TIME MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>10 MARKS</b>
<b>ORGANISATION OF ARGUMENTS</b>	<b>10 MARKS</b>

- Each Team shall appear in two Preliminary Rounds. They shall have to argue as the appellant and Respondent once each. No two teams shall face each other more than once in the preliminary rounds
- Draw of lots shall determine which two teams would face each Other and the side shall be represented by each team
- Each team will get a total of 25 minutes to present their case. This time will include rebuttal and sur- rebuttal time. Non-adherence to time may result in deduction of marks
- The division of time per speaker is left to the discretion of the team subject to a lower limit of 10 minutes per speaker.
- For the purposes of qualification from the Preliminary Rounds to the Pre-Qualifiers, the top 32 teams shall be decided on the basis of Wins.
- In case more than 32 teams having the same number of Wins then the aggregate Oral Round Scores shall be taken into consideration, and if the tie persists their memorial scores shall be taken into consideration.
- The draw of lots shall determine which two teams will face each other, and the side shall be represented by each team. Each team will get a total of 25 minutes to present their case. This time will include rebuttal and sur- rebuttal time. Non-adherence to time may result in a deduction of marks. The division of time per speaker is left to the discretion of the team, subject to a lower limit of 10 minutes per speaker.
- For qualification from the Pre-Qualifiers to the Qualifiers, the top 8 team shall be decided based on the aggregate Oral Round Scores, and in case of tie their memorial scores shall be taken into Consideration.
- The Qualifiers shall be knock-out rounds. Each team will get a total of 25 minutes to present their case.

This time will include rebuttal & sur-rebuttal time. Non-adherence to time may result in deduction of marks. The division of time per speaker is left to the discretion of the team subject to a lower limit of 10 minutes per speaker

- The Winning teams of the Qualifier rounds shall compete in the semi final round. Each team will get a total of 45 minutes to present their case. This time will include rebuttal and sur-rebuttal time. Non-adherence to time may result in deduction of marks.
- The division of time per speaker is left to the discretion of the team subject to a lower limit of 15 minutes per speaker and upper limit of 30 minutes per speaker.

- Teams having highest scores in the semi final round shall qualify for the final round. In case of a tie, the team with the higher memorial score will stand qualified for the final round
- Each team shall get a total of 45 minutes to present their case. This time will include rebuttal and sur-rebuttal time. Non-adherence to time may result in deduction of marks.
- The division of time per speaker is left to the discretion of the team subject to a lower limit of 15 minutes per speaker and upper limit of 30 minutes per speaker.



LPU STUDENT MOHAMMED ARSHAD TK – BEST SPEAKER  
37<sup>th</sup> BAR COUNCIL OF INDIA NATIONAL ALL INDIA  
INTER UNIVERSITY MOOT COURT COMPETITION 2024

## ANONYMITY

The student shall not state their names during the oral rounds and must use the assigned team code for all correspondence. All team members must refrain from disclosing the identity of their institutions at any time and in any manner during the entire Competition.

Scouting is strictly prohibited and scouting by any team shall entail instant disqualification. Non-compliance with this Rule will result in immediate disqualification of the team

## FINALITY OF THE DECISION

The decision of the judges with regard to the outcome of the rounds shall be final. For all purposes and in any dispute, the decision of the Moot Court Coordinator of the Competition shall be final and binding

## DRESSING

The dress code for the oral rounds shall be an advocate’s attire, i.e., Black and White combination, including Blazer, Tie, Formal Trousers and Black Shoes.

## AWARDS

- Winning Team Award – The winning team will receive certificate of winning, trophy with a prize money of ₹51,000/-
- Runner-up Team Award – The runner-up team will receive certificate of runner up, trophy, with a prize money of ₹31,000/-
- Best Speaker will receive a trophy, certificate and a prize money of ₹10,000/-
- Best Researcher will receive a trophy, certificate and prize money of ₹10,000/-
- Best Memorial -The team submitting the best Memorial will receive a trophy, Certificate and a prize money of ₹10,000/-
- All the participants will be awarded with the participation certificate

10 MAR   11: 59pm	Early Decision Benefit
17 MAR   11: 59pm	Last Date of Registration
20 MAR   11: 59pm	Last Date for any clarifications
24 MAR   11: 59pm	Memorial Submission
01 APRIL, 2026	Inaugural & Researchers Test
2/3 APRIL, 2026	Oral Rounds and Valedictory Ceremony

# MOOT PROPOSITION

IN THE HIGH COURT OF LORICA AT CAPITAL CITY

Criminal Appellate Jurisdiction

Criminal Appeal No. 241 of 2025

The State of Lorica ... Appellant

Versus

Ethan Reed ... Respondent

1. On the morning of 12 July 2024, David Morgan, a retired school teacher from Westvale, District Thalos, entered Northbridge Police Station clutching a small folder of his daughter's photographs. His daughter, Claire Morgan (27), had not been seen since the evening of 10 July 2024. She had been co-habiting for nearly two years with Ethan Reed (29), a freelance digital artist, in Flat 402, Avalon Heights, Capital City, Lorica.
2. The Morgans had objected to the relationship. Claire had met Ethan at a creative-tech workshop; her father had found his career "unstable" and his income "invisible." Family friends described repeated arguments about marriage, money, and Ethan's sporadic work. Claire moved out of her family home six months before the incident, telling a friend, "He isn't perfect, but he sees me." Her father never approved.
3. On 11 July 2024, when Mr. Morgan called Ethan to ask for Claire, Ethan replied she had "gone away to clear her head." He refused to say where. The duty officer noted the complainant's tone as controlled, suspicious, determined.
4. The missing-person entry became FIR No. 118/2024 the next day, when Ms. Helen Brooks, a 36-year-old neighbour residing in Flat 401, reported to the housing association that she had heard "a loud argument, breaking glass, and a muffled scream" between 11:25 p.m. and 11:32 p.m. on 9 July 2024.
5. Ms. Brooks later gave a detailed statement: she had stood near the common balcony and distinctly heard Claire shout the words "Stop it, Ethan!" followed by a thud. She opened her door but saw no one in the corridor. Within ten minutes, the building's front gate CCTV captured a man resembling Ethan walking out at 11:43 p.m. carrying what looked like a dark gym bag. (Her full statement is annexed as Annexure I.)
6. The gatekeeper, Mr. Peter Lang, maintained a handwritten visitor log. The page for that night shows an entry for "E. Reed – Exit 23:48" overwritten from "23:38." Lang later told police that the system clock of the gate-camera ran ten minutes slow; the correction was made at the request of building management on 11 July 2024. Thus, the corrected exit time was established as approximately 11:43 p.m., consistent with Ms. Brooks's account.
7. Police seized from Ethan's flat one Vortex smartphone (IMEI XXXXXX), one Hendrix laptop (Serial XXXXXX), and a black USB drive. The seizure memo, however, was signed three days later on 15 July 2024, with Inspector Raymond Clarke citing "unavailability of sealing equipment."
8. Constable Sarah Lynn confirmed that, owing to renovation, the evidence cabinet remained unlocked for two nights. Chain-of-custody entries show overwritten dates and initials inconsistent with Clarke's handwriting.
9. On 20 July 2024, acting on digital location data from Ethan's phone, police searched Elora Woods, District Thalos, and recovered three plastic containers half-buried in mud. DNA profiling confirmed the remains were Claire Morgan's.
10. The Lorician Forensic Laboratory report (No. FSL/DNA/547/2024) stated a complete genetic match between the remains and a blood-stained shirt found in Ethan's car. Yet the seal on the evidence packet was "creased and partially torn," and temperature logs showed fluctuations between 7°C and 19°C. Dr. Kavita Stone, the analyst, testified that contamination was "possible but not probable."
11. A separate Cyber Forensic Unit report (No. CFU/DEEP/226/2024) examined CCTV footage from Avalon Heights' main-gate camera. The on-screen timestamp showed 23:41 hrs, but metadata revealed creation at 23:51 hrs, matching the ten-minute discrepancy noted by the gatekeeper. The footage also bore the mark of an AI plug-in (SmartView Optimize v3.2) that automatically enhanced brightness and facial clarity. Dr. Harriet Lowe, the expert, admitted hash verification was performed only after the police transfer — "a procedural delay," she said — but insisted there was "no evidence of manipulation." The defence's expert, Dr. Marcus Greene, disagreed: the video, he said, showed pixel duplication and asynchronous frame clusters "characteristic of synthetic interference." He stopped short of calling it fake, concluding, "The source integrity is uncertain."

12. Recovered WhatsApp messages between Ethan and his friend Ryan Adams contained one dated 8 July 2024, 22:53 hours: "It'll be over soon. I can't let her destroy everything."
13. The prosecution interpreted this as motive. The defence argued the text referred to a financial dispute between Ethan and a client..
14. The certificate under Section 63 of the Loric Rules of Evidence, 2023 lacked details of extraction software and hash values; its date "21 July" appeared overwritten to "22 July".
15. Ms. Brooks's statement, steady and detailed, formed the backbone of the prosecution's narrative. Yet the police leaned heavily on digital evidence, treating human recollection as secondary.
16. Inspector Clarke admitted in cross-examination that, during a server malfunction, the seized devices had been plugged into an unsecured desktop for charging over two nights. "No access logs generated," he said plainly.
17. Ryan Adams partially retracted his police statement, claiming the officers "suggested phrases" when he appeared unsure. He denied hearing any confession.
18. On 5 March 2025, the Sessions Court, Capital City, Lorica, convicted Ethan Reed under the Loric Penal Code, 2023 for offences under Sections 103 (Murder), 241 (Destruction of Evidence), and 61 (Criminal Conspiracy). The judgment observed: "Human memory falters, digital memory distorts. Between the two, the Court must find a reasonable truth."
19. Ethan Reed has filed Criminal Appeal No. 241 of 2025 before the High Court of Lorica at Capital City, challenging both conviction and sentence.

## ISSUES OF THE CASE

1. Whether the conviction of the accused under Section 103 (Murder) of the Loric Penal Code, 2023 is sustainable in law, in light of the alleged procedural lapses in the collection, sealing, storage, and forensic examination of physical and digital evidence?
2. Whether the electronic evidence, including CCTV footage and WhatsApp communications, satisfies the mandatory legal standards of authenticity, integrity, and admissibility under the Loric Rules of Evidence, 2023, particularly in view of discrepancies in metadata, chain of custody, and certification requirements?
3. Whether the reliance placed on AI-enhanced CCTV footage and compromised digital devices violates the Appellant's right to a fair trial, due process, and evidentiary reliability principles?
4. Whether the conviction based substantially on circumstantial evidence, disputed forensic findings, and a partially retracted witness statement meets the threshold of proof beyond reasonable doubt?

## Annexure I – Statement of Ms. Helen Brooks

(Recorded under Section 183 of the Loric Code of Criminal Procedure, 2023)

"I live in Flat 401, Avalon Heights, next door to Claire and Ethan. On Friday night, 9 July 2024, around 11:25 p.m., I was preparing tea when I heard raised voices through the wall.

I heard Claire shout 'Stop it, Ethan!' then a sound like furniture dragging, glass breaking, and one short scream.

I stepped onto the balcony. It was quiet; I saw no one in the corridor.

A few minutes later, I heard the main-gate latch. From my window I could see a man in a hooded jacket carrying a dark bag. He walked quickly and did not look up.

The next morning, I messaged the housing group chat asking if anyone else heard noises. No one replied. Two days later, police came."

(Signed) Helen Brooks

(Witness)

## EXHIBIT A – FIR EXTRACT

EXHIBIT A – FIR EXTRACT

FIR No. 118/2024

Date of Registration: 12.07.2024

Police Station: Northbridge, District Thalos, State of Lorica

Complainant: David Morgan, resident of 21-C, Westvale

Accused: Ethan Reed, resident of Flat 402, Avalon Heights, Capital City

Sections Invoked :

1. Section 103 – Murder
2. Section 241 – Destruction of Evidence
3. Section 61 – Criminal Conspiracy

Under the Lorican Penal Code, 2023

Brief Substance of Complaint :

The complainant reported that his daughter, Claire Morgan (27 years), was missing since 10.07.2024. She had been residing with her partner, Ethan Reed, at Avalon Heights.

The complainant stated that upon contacting Ethan, the latter replied that "Claire has gone to clear her head for a few days," without specifying any destination.

The complainant expressed suspicion over the calm tone and evasive nature of the accused's response.

Action Taken : Case registered under above sections. Investigation handed to Inspector Raymond Clarke.

Remarks : Initial verification of phone records and building surveillance underway.

(Sd/-)

Raymond Clarke

Inspector-in-Charge

Police Station Northbridge

## EXHIBIT B – SEIZURE MEMORANDUM

Date: 15.07.2024

Place: Flat 402, Avalon Heights, Capital City

Investigating Officer: Inspector Raymond Clarke

In connection with FIR No. 118/2024, the following articles were seized from the residence and vehicle of Ethan Reed :

Exhibit No. Condition	Description Remarks	Identification Marks
E-1 Vortex Smartphone Retrieved from bedroom table	IMEI XXXXXXXXX	Locked
E-2 Hendrix Laptop Found active on desk, powered down	Serial XXXXXXXXX	Used
E-3 USB Drive (Black, cracked casing)	Damaged	32 GB Contained "CCTV Avalon" folder
E-4 Blood-stained Shirt (Off-white)	Soiled	Found in trunk of Hyundai sedan, Reg. No. LOR-07-BX-2114

Witnesses Present :

1. Constable Sarah Lynn (Badge No. 2843)
2. Robert Hayes, Security Guard, Avalon Heights

Remarks :

Seizure memo delayed due to shortage of digital sealing kits; evidence temporarily stored in malkhana.

(Sd/-) Raymond Clarke, Inspector

(Sd/-) Sarah Lynn, Constable

(Sd/-) Robert Hayes, Witness

## EXHIBIT C – GATE LOG REGISTER (Main Gate, Avalon Heights)

Extract of Entry for Night of 09.07.2024

Sl. No.	Name	Flat No.	Entry Time	Exit Time	Remarks
24	Ethan Reed	402	21:1	23:38 (overwritten to 23:48)	Correction made 11.07.24 by Admin
25	Delivery Midnight Bites	307	23:55	00:10	Food parcel

Footnote :

The security office verified on 11.07.2024 that the main-gate camera clock was ten minutes behind actual time. All corresponding CCTV footage adjusted accordingly.

(Sd/-) Peter Lang

Gatekeeper, Avalon Heights

(Sd/-) Martin Cole

Building Supervisor

## EXHIBIT D – LORICAN FORENSIC LABORATORY REPORT

Report No.: FSL/DNA/547/2024

Date: 28.07.2024

Issued by: Forensic Science Laboratory, Capital City, Lorica

Articles Examined:

1. Blood-stained shirt (Exhibit E-4)
2. Biological samples from recovery site, Elora Woods (Exhibit E-5)
3. Reference DNA profile of Claire Morgan (Sample R-1)

Observations:

1. Exhibits E-4 and R-1 show identical genetic markers at all STR loci tested.
2. Chain of custody discrepancy noted (38-minute mismatch between dispatch and receipt).
3. Seal on E-4 packet recorded as "creased and partially torn."
4. Temperature variations between 7°C–19°C during transport.

Conclusion:

Exhibit E-4 contains biological material matching the DNA profile of Claire Morgan with a probability exceeding 99.99%.

No secondary DNA source detected.

(Sd/-) Dr. Kavita Stone

Senior Forensic Analyst

Lorican Forensic Laboratory, Capital City

## EXHIBIT E – CYBER FORENSIC UNIT REPORT

Report No.: CFU/DEEP/226/2024

Issued on: Date overwritten: 21 → 22.07.2024

Examiner: Dr. Harriet Lowe, Head, CFU, Capital City

Source: USB Drive (labelled "CCTV Avalon Heights")

File Name: VID\_09\_06\_2023.MP4

Findings :

1. Duration: 02:48:12 hrs.
2. On-screen timestamp: 09.07.2024 (23:41 – 02:06).
3. Metadata creation time: 09.07.2024, 23:51:06 hrs.
4. Hash value: verified post-transfer (MD5: 7ae4b9c12f5e\*\*\*\*).
5. Plug-in detected: SmartView Optimize v3.2 (AI Brightness Enhancement).
6. Frame-rate inconsistency between 29.7–31.1 fps.
7. Logs show partial corruption after voltage fluctuation.

Remarks :

Video exhibits timestamp correction consistent with building's time calibration record. No deliberate tampering detected.

However, enhancement algorithms may alter surface clarity and colour fidelity.

(Sd/-) Dr. Harriet Lowe

Head, Cyber Forensic Unit

Capital City, Lorica

## EXHIBIT F – WITNESS STATEMENT: Ms. Helen Brooks

(Recorded under Section 183, Lorican Code of Criminal Procedure, 2023)

Name: Helen Brooks

Age: 36 years

Occupation: School Administrator

Address: Flat 401, Avalon Heights, Capital City "I live next to Claire Morgan. On the night of 9 July 2024, around 11:25 p.m., I heard a loud argument through the wall — male and female voices.

Then I heard Claire shout, 'Stop it, Ethan!' followed by a crash and a single scream. I opened my door; the corridor was empty.

From my balcony, I heard the main-gate latch click around 11:40. The man walking out wore a dark jacket and carried what looked like a large duffel bag.

I was certain it was Ethan. I recognized his posture.

The next morning, I texted the building's resident group asking if anyone else had heard noises. No one responded."

Signed) Helen Brooks

(Witness)

Annexed Photograph: Layout map of Avalon Heights floor corridor showing relative positions of Flat 401 (Helen Brooks) and Flat 402 (Claire and Ethan).

## EXHIBIT G – TRIAL COURT EXCERPT

Court: Sessions Court, Capital City, Lorica

Case No.: 118 of 2024

Presiding Judge: Hon'ble Ms. Justice Amara Devi

Date: 05.03.2025

Extract from Judgment:

"While the defence underscores inconsistencies in timestamps and minor procedural errors, the overall sequence of events — from neighbour's testimony to recovery of remains and digital trail — converges towards a singular inference.

The Court acknowledges that machines may err in record, but human senses, when steady and untainted by malice, remain compelling. Ms. Helen Brooks's statement, though uncorroborated by another eye, bears internal coherence.

The evidentiary weight of both technology and human perception is therefore cumulative, not competitive. Justice does not demand flawless science; it demands reasonable conviction."

Order:

Ethan Reed convicted under Sections 103, 241, and 61 of the Lorican Penal Code, 2023 and sentenced to imprisonment for life.

(Sd/-) Amara Devi, J.

Sessions Court, Capital City, Lorica

## Disclaimer

- The facts stated in the moot proposition are fictitious and have been drafted solely for the moot court competition.
- The names, locations, dates used and the situation described in the proposition have no resemblance to any person alive or dead, or any event.
- No real incident can be attached to anything contained in this proposition.
- The proposition doesn't intend to hurt the feelings of any person or any section of society.

## AUTHORITIES AT SCHOOL OF LAW LPU

### Dr. Ashok Kumar Mittal

Member of Parliament, Rajya Sabha  
Chancellor, Lovely Professional University

### Dr. Col. Rashmi Mittal

Pro Chancellor,  
Lovely Professional University

### Dr. Jaspal Singh Sandhu

Vice Chancellor,  
Lovely Professional University

### Prof (Dr.) Anand Pawar

Professor and Senior Dean  
School of Law, Lovely Professional University

### Prof (Dr.) Rahul Singh

Secretary, School of Law,  
Lovely Professional University

### Dr. Neeru Mittal

Head of the Department & Associate Professor  
School of Law, Lovely Professional University

### Prof. (Dr.) Dalliandeep Kaur

Head of the Department Professor  
School of Law, Lovely Professional University

### Mr. Ashish Singh Taank

Moot Court Coordinator, Assistant Professor  
School of Law, Lovely Professional University



## ORGANISING COMMITTEE

The Organizing Committee (O.C.) shall be responsible for all administrative matters concerning the competition and shall supply and distribute all necessary information to the participating teams. The official email ID for all the correspondence is [solmootcourt@lpu.co.in](mailto:solmootcourt@lpu.co.in)

## CONVENERS

### Vikramjeet Singh

CEO, MCS  
+91 74948-92097

### Akanchha

Co-CEO  
+91 62022-52292

### Snehil Rai

General Secretary,  
MCS  
+91 72757-93940

### Sarah Vasan

Public Relation  
Officer, MCS  
+91 83600-33943

### Parth Dhall

Student  
Coordinator  
+91 77229-00009

# 7<sup>TH</sup> GAVELLED MOOT COURT COMPETITION

01-03 April, 2026

Moot Court Society, School of Law, Lovely Professional University, Punjab

## Registration Form

### I. Institute Details:

Name & Address of the Institution/University/College

.....  
.....

Email Address (Institution/ Director/Dean/Principal).....

### II. Details of Speaker – I


Name .....

Gender.....

Class.....

Contact Number.....

E-mail Address.....



### III. Details of Speaker – II


Name .....

Gender.....

Class.....

Contact Number.....

E-mail Address.....



### IV. Details of Researcher


Name .....

Gender.....

Class.....

Contact Number.....

E-mail Address.....



### V. Fee Amount ₹6000/- till 10-03-2026 thereafter late fee application i.e. ₹6500/- per team (including accommodation)

Payment Gateway: <https://www.lpu.in/events/gavelled/registration-form.php>

**Seal and Signature of Dean/ Principal/ Director**

#### KINDLY NOTE

1. Registration form to be mailed at [solmootcourt@lpu.co.in](mailto:solmootcourt@lpu.co.in) (hard copy of the same to be deposited by hand at the registration desk at the time of competition).
2. Please Contact the organizing committee for post-deadline registration slots.
3. Accommodation is available from the morning of 01 April to the evening of 03 April 2026, with food provided from the evening of 01 April to the evening of 03 April 2026.
4. Travel form shall be provided after confirmation of Registration.



**L** OVELY  
**P** ROFESSIONAL  
**U** NIVERSITY

*Transforming Education Transforming India*



**Lovely Professional University (LPU)**  
Jalandhar-Delhi G.T. Road, Phagwara, Punjab  
[www.lpu.in](http://www.lpu.in)

Find us on

